## EXHIBIT 43

## Case 23-03037 Document 58-23 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/23 Page 2 of 69

DKT NO: X06-UWY-CV186046436-S : COMPLEX LITIGATION DKT

ERICA V. LAFFERTY : JUDICIAL DISTRICT WATERBURY

v. : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

ALEX EMRIC JONES : OCTOBER 4, 2022

DOCKET NO: X06-UWY-CV186046437-S

WILLIAM SHERLACH

v.

ALEX EMRIC JONES

DOCKET NO: X06-UWY-CV186046438-S

WILLIAM SHERLACH

V.

ALEX EMERIC JONES

## P.M. SESSION VOLUME 3 OF 3

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA N. BELLIS, JUDGE, AND A JURY

## APPEARANCES:

Representing the Plaintiff(s):

ATTORNEY CHRISTOPHER MATTEI ATTORNEY JOSHUA KOSKOFF ATTORNEY MATTHEW BLUMENTHAL ATTORNEY ALINOR STERLING

Representing Defendant(s):

ATTORNEY NORMAN PATTIS

Recorded By: Kendyl Henaghan

Transcribed By:
Kendyl Henaghan
Court Recording Monitor
Waterbury Superior Court
300 Grand Street
Waterbury, Connecticut 06702

1	(RETUEN FROM AFTERNOON RECESS)
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon, please be seated.
3	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right, so, I'm told that someone
5	pulled the fire alarm in the garage, and I plan on
6	just telling that - telling the Jury that so they're
7	not left wondering; any objection?
8	ATTY. MATTEI: None.
9	ATTY. PATTIS: Nope.
10	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Your Honor, I just want to thank
11	the Court and the Marshal's for doing such a calm and
12	professional job of getting us out.
13	THE COURT: Well, you beat me to; so, I was gonna
14	do that in front of the Jury - I wish I had had the -
15	I don't take my phone out here with me,
16	obviously
17	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Right.
18	THE COURT: I wish I had filmed how
19	professionally the Marshal's did their jobs - one
20	attach themselves to the Jury, and the other ones
21	attach themselves to you folks
22	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Really excellent.
23	THE COURT: and it doesn't get any better
24	than that.
25	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And it made - and I just want to
26	say, it made - it did make a difference to the
27	families; because obviously this is a very nerve-

1	wracking situation - something like this.
2	THE COURT: Yes, thank you; and I was gonna say
3	that in front of the Jury, but - I will.
4	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Sorry, just - you can still do
5	it, Judge, they didn't know I said it.
6	THE COURT: But I know this, I'm gonna commend
7	him, our one Juror who always holds the door; held
8	that door the whole time for everyone to file out -
9	didn't stop him.
10	Okay, Ron.
11	THE CLERK: All set? (indiscernible) stand?
12	THE COURT: Um
13	THE CLERK: Or do you want to address it
14	(phonetic) first?
15	THE COURT: No, he can come back on the stand
16	now, if he wants, or he can wait - whatever you're
17	most comfortable with.
18	(WITNESS MARK BARDEN TAKES STAND)
19	THE COURT: And obviously, help yourself to the
20	water, there, if you want it.
21	MR. BARDEN: Thank you, I will.
22	(JURY ENTERS COURTROOM)
23	THE COURT: Okay everybody, welcome back. That's
24	one way to take the afternoon recess.
25	Please be seated, counsel will stipulate that
26	our entire Panel is back.
27	ATTY. PATTIS: Yes, Your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: So, I - please be seated. So, I told the attorney's already, and I'll tell you now - that was simply - someone pulled the fire alarm in the parking garage - in the outdoor parking garage. So, you were - everyone was safe and sound the whole time.

I did want to commend our Marshal's and staff, in front of you, because — and I said, I wish I had — I don't take my camera on the bench, I wish I had; I would of filmed how they handled it so professionally. I know one of the Marshal's immediately escorted you all and Mr. Ferraro went with you; and the other Marshal's took care of everyone else — and of course, our door holder over there, through the whole alarm blaring, held that door until you all were out and our staff was out.

So, we have some special people in this courtroom.

THE CLERK: Of course, Your Honor, we'd have to admonish you if you did film in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Oh, that's true (indicernable), so, it's a good thing my phone wasn't out here.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: Thank you, Your Honor

THE COURT: All right, so, I think we're ready to resume.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: Thank you - and the families join in that commendation of the staff - not staff, but

our quardians here.

2.2

2.4

I think that were trying to figure out - when the alarm went off - wait, where did we leave off; and I think we figured it out, Mark.

I think you were explain to the Jury about the dynamic in the household between you, and Jackie, and Natalie; and what it was like - just give us a flavor of what it was like to have - you know, Daniel's older-brother and sister, and having to care for them while you were being attacked from all these different - in all these different ways from - you know, what was going on with these lies.

MR. BARDEN: It nearly broke us, to be quite honest with you; because managing the shooting-murder of your seven-year-old, in his classroom, is about all we could manage, and to provide love and normalcy for James and Natalie.

Then to have to manage and navigate this attack from unknown forces out there, in world - that seemed to be increasing and getting darker, and more ominus, and menacing - I didn't know how to do it; it was - I didn't know how to do it.

First and foremost, my job is always been to protect my family - in normal times. I did not know how to try to navigate this - this grief and horror of our child's murder with his siblings, and then have to figure out what was going on with this

harassment and death threats that was coming from the outside.

We kind of - not really consciously, but realized that our family had evolved into this kind of system of protecting each other, it wasn't just me. As we just learned, our little Natalie had learned of one of the letters that came to our mailbox; that said they were going to dig up Daniel's grave.

Daniel's grave - I don't know, but I don't think that James and Natalie have been there yet. It's - well, it's just too hard, first of all - Jackie and I have only been there a handful of times. I go there sometimes on my own, privately, and I don't share that with them. It's a very hard thing to do; I'm kind of caught between honoring him and needing to go there, but then it's just so hard to go see your seven-year-old child's headstone.

To hear - and so, this is so sacrosanct and hallowed a place for my family, and to hear that people were desecrating it, and urinating on it, and threatening to dig it up - I don't know how to articulate to you what that feels like, but that's where we are.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: You have managed to continue to raise James and Natalie, and also establish quite a foundation for - in honor of Daniel, is that right?

1 MR. BARDEN: Yes, we . . . 2 ATTY. KOSKOFF: We heard from Nicole (phonetic) 3 about Sandy Hook Promise . . . 4 MR. BARDEN: Yeah. 5 ATTY. KOSKOFF: . . . and you were one of the cofounders. 6 7 MR. BARDEN: Yeah, and just to your earlier 8 point, we are so, so proud of who James and Natalie 9 have become. They've just - wonderful, amazing, 10 beautiful kids, and they deserve to live a normal life. 11 12 So, what we do at Sandy Hook Promise, is - quite 13 literally, is to prevent other families from having 14 to navigate this and having to endure this kind of 15 pain. It is a national non-profit school safety, 16 violence prevention organization - and we're doing 17 just that. 18 We have prevented eleven school shootings now. I 19 think we can confirm that 361 lives have been saved 20 by students who are trained in our programs - who have observed at-risk behavior in themselves or in 21 2.2 peers and have gotten help to them before it became a 23 tragedy. 2.4 Hundreds of suicides have been averted - we're 25 very proud of that - and I feel it's a very 26 appropriate way to honor Daniel; who was that kid who

looked out for everybody. He was gonna go on to do

27

1 great things, and he can't - it was taken from him. 2 So, this is our way of honoring his life. 3 ATTY. KOSKOFF: I have to ask you - we're gonna 4 do something pretty difficult right now; so we're 5 just preparing you and the Jury. 6 MR. BARDEN: Something more difficult, really? ATTY. KOSKOFF: Yes. 7 8 You were here during opening statements, I 9 believe? 10 MR. BARDEN: I've been here every day, but last Tuesday - which was Daniel's seventeenth birthday. 11 12 ATTY. KOSKOFF: You heard Mr. Jones, through his 13 lawyer, argue that you, along with everybody else -14 these families, are in fact, exaggerating your 15 damages, "for political reasons", because he -16 meaning Alex Jones, disagrees with your point of 17 view. Did you hear that? 18 MR. BARDEN: Yeah. 19 ATTY. KOSKOFF: So, we can't sugar-coat this. So, 20 if it's okay - I know it's tough, but I'd like to 21 show the Jury first - said that there was a 22 performance of your children, a band, and you - tell 23 us about the band. 2.4 MR. BARDEN: Oh, yeah, okay. You mean the - yeah. 25 So, just - almost exactly 10 years ago, it was September 30, 2012, Jackie's Father - the patriarch 26 27 of the family - and I'd really, pretty much adopted

myself into my wife's family; and her Father, the patriarch, was turning ninety - last Fall. As Jackie mentioned, he's now turning one-hundred - and bless his heart.

But lest (phonetic) - but this video that

Attorney Koskoff is referencing was, we put together

our little family band to honor Papa on his ninetieth

birthday. The family from Ireland came over, we had a

big gathering for him on the honor of his nintieth

birthday, and we played one of his favorite songs,

"What a Wonderful World".

Natalie sang, James played bass, and Daniel played the drums - and I think it was his first gig, and he kept really good time; but, yeah, the family has recorded that.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: And just - we're gonna show the video, but there are other videos of Daniel singing on your - this YouTube page?

MR. BARDEN: Yeah, we used to host an open mic at one of the restaurants that I used to play in; we would host an open mic for kids. James, and Natalie, and Jackie were there just to watch, and out of the middle of nowhere, Daniel said,

"I want to go up and sing what I'm singing in kindergarten."

So, he came up and sang 'Mr. Sun, Mr. Golden Sun', and we have that preserved on video, as well.

```
1
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: I think that the Jury got the
 2
           idea, but to show (indiscernible), and I don't mean
 3
           to . . .
 4
                MR. BARDEN: No, it's . . .
 5
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: So . . .
 6
                MR. BARDEN: . . fine.
 7
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: . . . why don't we show 590,
 8
            'What a Wonderful World' - actually, give me one
 9
           second, Your Honor.
10
                Now we - sorry.
                       (EXHIBIT 590 PRESENTED)
11
12
                 THE CLERK: I'm sorry, before we move on,
13
           counsel, just for the record there's no objection to
14
           that exhibit.
15
                ATTY. PATTIS: Um, not now, no.
16
                THE CLERK: Thank you.
17
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: I'd like to offer 565 - let me
18
           back-up actually; that video - that beautiful video
19
           was on YouTube at the time of Daniel's death, right?
20
                MR. BARDEN: Yes.
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: I'd like to offer 565, YouTube
21
22
           comments, eight-years ago; and I'd offer it for full.
23
                ATTY. PATTIS: No objection, Judge.
2.4
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: Can we pull it up?
25
                       (EXHIBIT 565 PRESENTED)
26
                ATTY. KOSKOFF: "I see blue and red lights
27
           flashing outside the door - their door arresting the
```

1	Barden's for fraud."
2	That was a comment left eight-years ago?
3	MR. BARDEN: Yeah.
4	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 566, eight years ago.
5	(EXHIBIT 566 PRESENTED)
6	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "My only question is, who do you
7	serve, Barden Family? God sees all. Sure, I'm scared
8	for our country, but I'm even more worried about the
9	souls of the corrupt. Do yourself a favor and confess
10	your misdeeds."
11	Did I read that correctly?
12	MR. BARDEN: That's correct.
13	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 567, for a full exhibit.
14	(EXHIBIT 567 PRESENTED)
15	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Sorry (phonetic), three-years ago
16	now, 567, bottom -
17	And this is the other video you were talking
18	about, by the way, Mark? The video of Daniel singing?
19	MR. BARDEN: That was, 'Mr. Sun, Mr. Golden Sun',
20	yeah.
21	THE COURT: Is this a full exhibit?
22	ATTY. KOSKOFF: I'm offering it, yes.
23	ATTY. PATTIS: Yes.
24	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "LOL, this is so fake. They don't
25	even remember what year their son died in."
26	Did I read that correctly?
27	MR. BARDEN: Yes.

1	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 568, seven-years ago.
2	(EXHIBIT 568 PRESENTED)
3	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "You couldn't possibly support a
4	family on that guitar playing, dude. The whole thing
5	is as phony as a \$3.00 bill. Such a coincidence that
6	so many of these parents are wannabe musicians and
7	wanna be actors. 'Our kids died so please (phonetic)
8	give us all money' LOL."
9	Seven-years ago - did I read that correctly?
10	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
11	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 569, two-years ago, offered as a
12	full exhibit.
13	ATTY. PATTIS: No objection to any in this
14	series, Judge.
15	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Okay, put up
16	(EXHIBIT 569 PRESENTED)
17	ATTY. KOSKOFF: You have a nice comment here, and
18	then right under it, two-years ago,
19	"What a sick charade."
20	Did I read that correctly?
21	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
22	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 570, four-years ago; I offer it
23	as a full exhibit.
24	(EXHIBIT 570 PRESENTED)
25	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "Mark Barden, why was your buddy
26	Robert Parker smiling and laughing live on TV after
27	his daughter was murdered?"

1	Did I read that correctly?
2	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
3	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 571, seven-years ago.
4	(EXHIBIT 571 PRESENTED)
5	ATTY. KOSKOFF: It's a picture of you and the
6	family - is this on your YouTube, or is this 'What
7	Would Daniel Do'?
8	MR. BARDEN: That's on the - that was from the
9	'What Would Daniel Do' Facebook page.
10	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And that's a picture of you, and
11	Jackie, and the three kids, right?
12	MR. BARDEN: Yes, correct.
13	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Seven-years ago,
14	"Major photo editing in these pics. Try asking
15	why the length of James Barden's arm defies the laws
16	of physics in its length at 0:20"
17	Evidently this must have been a video.
18	MR. BARDEN: Probably, yeah.
19	ATTY. KOSKOFF: So, the twenty-second mark,
20	somebody noticed - made a comment,
21	" Barden's arm defies the laws of physics
22	at twenty? And where has Daniel's heel disappeared to
23	at 0:26? I wonder how James feels about his childhood
24	vid being made into his imaginary brothers."
25	Did I read that correctly?
26	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
27	ATTY. KOSKOFF: What's 'Safe Say Something, a

1	Vision for Safer Schools'?
2	MR. BARDEN: What are you referring to?
3	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Bring it up, 572.
4	THE COURT: And am I correct, Attorney Pattis, no
5	objection to this one (phonetic)?
6	ATTY. PATTIS: Yes, Judge.
7	(EXHIBIT 572 PRESENTED)
8	MR. BARDEN: Oh
9	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Do you recognize that?
10	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
11	ATTY. KOSKOFF: What is that?
12	MR. BARDEN: It's an anonymous reporting system
13	in - that we operate in the State of Pennsylvania.
14	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Okay, can we go to the comment
15	three-weeks ago - by the way, when did you see this?
16	When did you pull (phonetic) this?
17	MR. BARDEN: Oh, just probably last week, I found
18	it.
19	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Okay, so, it's within this last
20	month?
21	MR. BARDEN: Yeah.
22	ATTY. KOSKOFF: While the - well, either while
23	the case was going on or shortly before, is that your
24	understanding?
25	MR. BARDEN: Yes, yes.
26	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "FEMA L-366, look that document
27	up because this lying DHS-owed swamp liar, Mark

1 Barden, has continually profited off of the lie that 2 his child was murdered at a decommissioned elementary 3 school . . . " 4 Did you see the video in court of Alex Jones 5 talking about the school being a toxic waste dump and 6 being closed? 7 MR. BARDEN: I did, yes. 8 ATTY. KOSKOFF: ". . . at a decommissioned 9 elementary school that had been closed since 2008 and 10 was only being used for storage. I've printed out the actual property tax documents for the Newtown area 11 12 that clearly prove that nearly every homeowner, such 13 as Gene Rosen, Nicole Hockley . . . " -14 Who's here in this courtroom, ". . . Scarlett Lewis and Mark Barden 15 16 Was bribed in the form of a forgiven home mortgage on 17 Christmas Day, 2009, one year after the home - one 18 year after the school had been decommissioned and 19 closed due to the school being contaminated with mold and other biohazards, and was only being used for 20 storage." 21 22 Was Sandy Hook Elementary School a beautiful 23 school? 2.4 MR. BARDEN: Yes. 25 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Was it a clean school? 26 MR. BARDEN: Yes. 27 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Was (phonetic) there a lot of

school pride there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. BARDEN: Very much; I was in that school a lot, as a stay-at-home Dad, I was in there reading, doing projects with the kids - I was in that school a lot, and it was fully functioning, right up until the shooting, and it was a beautiful little place.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: "Homeland security used younger photos of older children as 'victim' propaganda. How do I know they were older? I compared the photos to the children singing behind Jennifer Hudson at the Superbowl just over a month later. One child seen there might have been just a coincidence, but not eight of them, and to this day, the Newtown School Board has denied sending said children to the Superbowl. None of these 'parents' lost a child. Mark Barden and the rest of these players are DHS-owned for life. There is an old saying that says that it's just easier to fool the people than to convince them that they have been fooled. The Sandy Hook Promise organization is a confirmed fraud, stemming from a government fabricated event that was produced as gun control propaganda. Obiden knows . . . " -

I guess that's a play on President Biden . . .

ATTY. PATTIS: Objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: Sorry, I'll just read it,

"Obiden knows these are drills, along with

1 Obama, Obama played us all with these drills-gone-2 live in the media as soon as he was elected. The 3 media are in the loop that these events are drills, 4 that's why the media were in Newtown on the 13th." 5 Would the 13th of been the day before the 6 shooting? 7 MR. BARDEN: Yes. 8 ATTY. KOSKOFF: 576, I offer as a full exhibit -9 I guess I'll just state the names or the numbers from 10 here on in, as I understand they're all in. (EXHIBIT 576 PRESENTED) 11 12 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Three-months ago, this is a - you 13 see - oh, this is the picture that the Jury saw, is 14 it not? Or maybe - Daniel kissing you on the cheek? 15 MR. BARDEN: Yeah. 16 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Somebody actually says, 17 "Horrible what happened . . . " -18 Which obviously, you would agree with, 19 ". . . they were paid actors, every single one 20 of them. They even photoshopped pictures, they 21 admitted this, wake the f up." 22 Did I read that correctly? 23 MR. BARDEN: Yes. 2.4 ATTY. KOSKOFF: 577. 25 (EXHIBIT 577 PRESENTED) 26 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Not a single - sorry, I'll slow 27 down a little bit.

1	Five-years ago,
2	"Not a single tear, not even a wet eye. A parent
3	losing a precious six or seven-year-old would have
4	hot salty tears at the thought of them. Period."
5	And that - did I read that currently?
6	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
7	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And that has 22-Likes, right? Is
8	that what that is?
9	MR. BARDEN: I can't see the Likes.
10	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Can you - maybe you can see them
11	now.
12	MR. BARDEN: That is 22-Likes, correct.
13	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Do you know that Alex Jones
14	brought a lawsuit against The Young Turks for
15	something that had 20-Likes? Did you know that?
16	MR. BARDEN: Yes, we learned that in the hearing.
17	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And this single instant, in your
18	- five-years ago, had 22-Likes, is that right?
19	MR. BARDEN: That's correct.
20	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And that wasn't true, was it?
21	MR. BARDEN: No.
22	ATTY. KOSKOFF: And that's a slanderous thing to
23	say, isn't it?
24	ATTY. PATTIS: Objection, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.
26	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Anyway, let me move on.
27	578, eight-years ago.

1	(EXHIBIT 578 PRESENTED)
2	ATTY. KOSKOFF: "Adam Lanza is a fictitious
3	character; he is made up of the smallest of spare
4	parts. Those players with real faces whom have
5	offered their services for a few pieces of silver
6	will eventually be silenced forever. There can be no
7	other way."
8	Did I read that correctly?
9	MR. BARDEN: Yes, you did.
10	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 579, one-year ago.
11	(EXHIBIT 579 PRESENTED)
12	"Jackie joins Carlee Soto and Jillian Soto as
13	911 callers, pretending to be 'teachers in the
14	school'. What a goofy, shady, hoax."
15	Did I read that correctly?
16	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
17	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Eight-years ago,
18	"When have you ever seen a bunch of grieving
19	parents give interviews about their dead child with
20	smiles on their faces? Especially just a few days
21	after the event?"
22	Did I read that correctly?
23	MR. BARDEN: Yes.
24	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 580, two-years ago - I think you
25	may have seen this before (indiscernible).
26	(EXHIBIT 580 PRESENTED)
27	"Total hoax, his mom was caller number one on

1 the Sandy Hook 911 calls, proving it was staged." 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 MR. BARDEN: Yes. 4 ATTY. KOSKOFF: That's a picture of you and 5 Jackie with a framed picture of who? MR. BARDEN: Of Daniel. 6 7 ATTY. KOSKOFF: That you chose for that photo? 8 MR. BARDEN: Yeah, that's a - Jackie, with her 9 Irish heritage, would take the kids out of school on 10 St. Patrick's Day and we'd go to the parade in New York; and that's Daniel in the New York City subway -11 12 and that's that picture. 13 ATTY. KOSKOFF: "Total hoax, his mom was caller 14 number one on the Sandy Hook 911 calls, proving it 15 was staged." 16 Was Jackie a caller? 17 MR. BARDEN: No, she was not. 18 ATTY. KOSKOFF: You can take it down. 19 Mark, Jackie told us that you've been a 20 different person since this all happened? 21 MR. BARDEN: Yes. ATTY. KOSKOFF: And she said you're - you go into 22 23 grocery stores and you look around and you . . . 2.4 ATTY. PATTIS: Objection, leading, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Sustained. 26 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Well, can you describe, for the 27 Jury, what this does - what this has done to your

2.4

feelings of security and safety for yourself and your family?

MR. BARDEN: Yes, over time in this time period having to navigate all of these things and much more
- that you have just seen, I have developed a layer
of constant hypervigilance, and it's exhausting. It
interferes with your sleep, it interferes with your
conscious, it interferes with your thinking, your
ability to process.

As Jackie testified earlier, we're grocery shopping, and I'm looking at the faces and who we're in the room with - why are they looking at us like that, who is this person - instead of focusing on grocery shopping with Jackie.

One of the security protocols we've installed at our house are motion sensor lights, and I have amotion sensor light right outside our bedroom window — so that I know when something sets it off, in my sleep. I get up to the — I get up in the middle of night several times and walk around — look out the windows, look at the driveway, everything is locked — Natalie used to say,

"Daddy, the three L's before bed."

And that's lights, locks, 'larm.

ATTY. KOSKOFF: 'Larm?

MR. BARDEN: And that's - and as Jackie said, I'm constantly observing the cars going around. I hear a

1 car coming up the road, I stop and I look down my driveway, 'Who is that?'. It's just- it's constant 2 3 hypervigilance - who is watching us, what are they 4 thinking, what's coming next; and it's exhausting. 5 ATTY. KOSKOFF: The word hypervigilance, is that 6 a psychiatric word? 7 MR. BARDEN: I don't know where it comes from. 8 ATTY. PATTIS: Objection, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Do you know - all right. What is it like to have to be in your home and 11 12 have that level of awareness? MR. BARDEN: It's exhausting, and as we've heard 13 14 others testify, we feel the same way. We are so 15 concerned for our children, that they have to - that 16 this life has been forced upon them now. They have to 17 be thinking about this, they have to be looking over 18 their shoulder all the time - who's gonna say what, 19 who's gonna call them a fraud or a liar or an actor? 20 It's - it becomes who you are. You're constantly 21 thinking about having to defend against that -22 defending your honor, your integrity, and defend our 23 little Daniel's honor, and his memory, and his life, and his death. 2.4 25 It's constant, and everything that we have to 26 hold onto, to preserve Daniel's memory - our little 27 home-movies, and our pictures, and our thoughts, and

1 our memories have all been compromised. It's all been 2 taken away from us. 3 All of our abilities to hold onto Daniel's 4 memory, and to cherish him, and to honor him has been 5 - has been tarnished with toxic hate and lies and 6 danger, and -7 I kinda went off, I'm sorry, it's . . . 8 ATTY. KOSKOFF: It's all right. 9 MR. BARDEN: Yes, I've changed. I'm not the 10 happy, grateful, Dad, guitar-player - I still play guitar, but I'm not that same person that used to 11 12 just enjoy walking around the block with my kids. 13 ATTY. KOSKOFF: And do you have fear for your 14 personal safety and the safety of your family? 15 MR. BARDEN: Yeah, yes. 16 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Does a day go by that you don't 17 have that fear? 18 ATTY. PATTIS: Objection, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 ATTY. KOSKOFF: How often - can you tell the Jury 21 about, how often? 2.2 MR. BARDEN: All the time, it's constant - it's 23 literally constant. I watch the cars, I follow cars 2.4 into my neighborhood and wonder who they are, wonder 25 who's stopping, I'm thinking of protocols - if 26 there's a car in my driveway, what am I gonna do? If 27 somebody comes to the house, how do I handle that?

1 It's constant. 2 ATTY. KOSKOFF: The - I have to ask you a technical question, which is that - you mentioned 3 that you added home security and motion sensor 4 5 lights, and was that something that you - do you 6 share finances with your wife? 7 MR. BARDEN: Well, Jackie does all the finances 8 in our family, and yes, we - Jackie and I both bear 9 the financial burden of the security cameras, and the 10 lights, and alarms - the three L's - all of the stuff that we have to do now, we share them. 11 12 ATTY. KOSKOFF: And you put those in because of 13 these threats, these . . . 14 ATTY. PATTIS: Objection. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 ATTY. KOSKOFF: For what reason have you put 17 those in? 18 MR. BARDEN: We put in all of these systems to 19 try to feel safe, to try to make our children feel 20 safe. Jackie mentioned before, it is heartbreaking to 21 learn that our daughter feels safer at somebody 22 else's house, than in her own bed; safer at school, 23 than at home. 2.4 So, we were just trying to put in some kind of 25 systems, some kind of protocols and procedures to 26 hopefully make all of us feel safer, but mostly for

27

our kids.

1	ATTY. KOSKOFF: Thank you, Mark.
2	MR. BARDEN: Thank you.
3	ATTY. PATTIS: No questions, Judge.
4	THE COURT: All right, sir, you may step down,
5	please watch your step.
6	(WITNESS MARK BARDEN STEPS DOWN)
7	THE CLERK: Your Honor, I have just one question,
8	I did not catch where he stopped in that series.
9	ATTY. KOSKOFF: 580.
10	THE CLERK: Five-eight-zero?
11	ATTY. KOSKOFF: (indiscernible), I think 580.
12	ATTY. PATTIS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Can I have a side bar with counsel?
14	(SIDE BAR BEGINS)
15	ATTY. MATTEI: Does that say ten-of-four?
16	THE COURT: I can't (indiscernible).
17	ATTY. MATTEI: I think it's ten-of-four.
18	THE COURT: I don't know why they would have a
19	white clock on a white wall.
20	ATTY. MATTEI: I know.
21	ATTY. PATTIS: I can't hear you.
22	THE COURT: I said I don't know why they would
23	have a white clock on a wall - for the first two-
24	weeks I didn't even know there was a clock.
25	ATTY. KOSKOFF: (indiscernible).
26	THE COURT: Okay, I didn't even know there was a
27	clock there.

```
1
                ATTY. MATTEI: Do you wanna know the plan?
 2
                THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
 3
                ATTY. MATTEI: Do you wanna know the plan?
 4
                 THE COURT: Yeah, what - do you have an agreement
 5
           of what the plan is, because I can go along with it.
 6
                ATTY. PATTIS: We talked in general . . .
 7
                ATTY. MATTEI: So, there's still an open question
 8
           about Mr. Jones, but we would propose - and I think
 9
           the Defense would agree to, is that we end for the
10
           day now.
                THE COURT: Can we le the Jury go, and then we
11
12
           can talk on the record?
                ATTY. MATTEI: Oh, yeah.
13
14
                ATTY. STERLING: Yes.
15
                THE COURT: Why don't we do that, because we
16
           gotta work on charge, about that issue where . . .
17
                ATTY. MATTEI: Yeah, right. So, we were thinking
18
           we work on the charge . . .
19
                THE COURT: . . . where he was (indiscernible) we
20
           can deal with.
                ATTY. MATTEI: Right, right.
21
22
                ATTY. PATTIS: Which issue is that?
23
                ATTY. MATTEI: The offer of proof.
2.4
                THE COURT: (indiscernible).
25
                ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible).
26
                ATTY. MATTEI: And then, I think our thought
27
           within the - that we have probably about another ten
```

1 - twenty-minutes, we can argue. 2 THE COURT: Okay, (indiscernible) let him know 3 when (indiscernible) saying. 4 ATTY. MATTEI: Right, right. Let's do that. 5 (SIDE BAR ENDS) THE COURT: All right, so the consensus is, that 6 7 we have some housekeeping matters to deal with, that 8 we will deal with, but we can let you go until 9 tomorrow. 10 I know I don't have to continue to say the same thing over and over again, because I am extremely 11 12 confident that you will continue to obey the rules of 13 Juror conduct, and I'm extremely confident that you 14 will let Ron know if there are any issues, in any 15 way, shape or form. 16 So, with that, you have safe travels home - Ron 17 will collect your notepads. Thanks for being such 18 good sports today and following Ron out during the 19 fire alarm; and we will see you tomorrow -20 And I will stay on record. 2.1 Good night. 2.2 (JURY EXITS COURTROOM) 23 THE COURT: Okay, so, please be seated. Why don't 2.4 you tell me what - if you have an agreement on how 25 we're proceeding just tell me what it is, and I'm 26 sure I'll honor it. 27 ATTY. PATTIS: Can we have a moment?

1	THE COURT: Sure.
2	ATTY. PATTIS: Judge, may we step out into the
3	hall, counsel and I, briefly for a moment?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	ATTY. MATTEI: Your Honor, may we approach?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	ATTY. MATTEI: Thank you.
8	(SIDE BAR BEGINS)
9	ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible).
10	ATTY. MATTEI: Sure, yeah.
11	THE COURT: It has to be on the record though.
12	ATTY. PATTIS: And I request that it not be, if
13	we can do that, for trial management purposes.
14	THE COURT: Will not, sorry.
15	ATTY. PATTIS: We have reached an agreement.
16	THE COURT: I like agreements.
17	ATTY. PATTIS: That
18	ATTY. MATTEI: I would say an understanding.
19	ATTY. PATTIS: We've reached an understanding
20	(indiscernible) that the Plaintiffs are not gonna
21	call Mr. (indiscernible) and Mr. Jones is not gonna
22	testify - I knew that as of, about an hour ago. I
23	didn't want to stand up and make a (indiscernible) in
24	your presence, when I have every reason to believe
25	he's on his way home and could be (indiscernible) and
26	be suggested that I engaged in misrepresentation to
27	the Court.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 ATTY. PATTIS: So, we've reached that 3 understanding; the question then becomes how the Court and counsel uses its time. So, I move to 4 5 (phonetic) (indiscernible) others. I have my ideas, 6 but I've done all the talking . . . 7 THE COURT: Do you want me to - what are you 8 gonna tell the Jury, since I've told them like, three 9 times that he was gonna testify? Do you want to come 10 up with some language? ATTY. PATTIS: Well, he - I . . . 11 12 THE COURT: It's up to you. ATTY. PATTIS: He's made an election - under the 13 14 consideration of the case as a whole, he's made a 15 decision not to testify. ATTY. MATTEI: And I . . . 16 17 THE COURT: Listen, you got me involved in it -18 if you didn't get me involved, then I wouldn't feel 19 an obligation to - I don't want to mislead my Jurors. 20 ATTY. PATTIS: Than you can say - I will . . . 21 THE COURT: You guys talk and tell me. 22 ATTY. PATTIS: I will take the hit, I'm 23 representing him. 2.4 THE COURT: No, you guys talk and then just give 25 me some agreeable language; only because we - if you 26 hadn't involved me, because I wouldn't - if I tell 27 them something and I have to keep them

```
1
            (indiscernible) so, you talk to them.
 2
                ATTY. PATTIS: Well, and you told them that
 3
           because I said it; I will take that hit if necessary.
 4
                 THE COURT: You all talk and let me know what to
 5
           say about . . .
 6
                ATTY. MATTEI: In terms of timing, so, what we
 7
           have tomorrow is, basically the end of our case -
 8
           some videos . . .
 9
                THE COURT: How long?
10
                ATTY. MATTEI: I'm thinking about twenty-minutes,
           total. We're gonna play a handful of video clips -
11
12
           not depositions, broadcasts.
13
                THE COURT: So, (indiscernible) rest . . .
14
                ATTY. MATTEI: For the rest of the day
15
            (phonetic).
16
                 THE COURT: Okay, I don't really wanna bring them
17
           in here for twenty-minutes.
18
                ATTY. MATTEI: Well . . .
19
                THE COURT: (indiscernible) just maybe have them
           come in on Thursday and just go from there and then
20
21
           just close and charge, and use - we can come in and
22
           work on the charge tomorrow, because we're not gonna
23
           do our closing charge tomorrow. I mean, I guess we
2.4
           could try.
25
                 THE CLERK: Judge, I'm not gonna have the proofs
26
            (phonetic) ready by then.
27
                THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
                ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible) Thursday, I spent
           a lot of time this weekend preparing to put my client
 2
 3
           on the stand . . .
 4
                ATTY. MATTEI: I understand that . . .
 5
                ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible) more time
 6
            (indiscernible) . . .
 7
                ATTY. MATTEI: . . . I get the logistical issues,
 8
           especially for Attorney Ferraro; so, I think that
 9
           that's fine if we not close and charge tomorrow, but
10
           we were anticipating resting tomorrow.
                I know it's not great to bring them in for that,
11
12
           but I also don't think it's great for us to end on a
13
           case right before we close - when that's not what was
14
           - what we had been anticipating.
15
                THE COURT: I understand that too, and I'm trying
16
           to make everyone happy; but do you wanna try to close
17
            (indiscernible) tomorrow?
18
                ATTY. MATTEI: It just doesn't seem like . . .
19
                THE COURT: Oh, is it impossible?
20
                THE CLERK: I have to see what exhibits I have
21
           (indiscernible), we're gonna have to go through and
22
           make sure that I have the right ones.
23
                ATTY. PATTIS: Well, we still have amended
2.4
           exhibits that we haven't really discussed or talked
25
           about.
26
                THE CLERK: I'm (indiscernible) suggest . . .
27
                ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, maybe you could also
```

```
- I know there were some issues on the charge that
 1
           the Court was considering.
 2
                 THE COURT: Well (indiscernible) scratched the
 3
 4
           surface on that, I'd like to do a little work on that
 5
           now that we have an hour.
 6
                ATTY. STERLING: Okay, yeah. So . . .
 7
                THE COURT: (indiscernible) you just want to do
 8
           that tomorrow?
 9
                ATTY. MATTEI: Oh no, I don't care, the charge
10
           stuff, I think, makes sense to get it done sooner
           rather than later.
11
12
                 THE COURT: Go right to 5:00 PM or maybe do like
13
           a forty-five minute (indiscernible) . . .
14
                ATTY. STERLING: Sure, sure.
                THE COURT: You're gonna have a motion tomorrow?
15
16
                ATTY. MATTEI: Um-hmm.
17
                THE COURT: So, we're gonna (indiscernible) . . .
18
                ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible), Judge
19
            (indiscernible) Jury (indiscernible), I don't think
20
           they have any clue (phonetic) that's coming.
21
                ATTY. MATTEI: I . . .
22
                THE COURT: Yeah, I'll do it, that's fine. It's
23
            (indiscernible) the case and you didn't cause the
2.4
           problem, but . . .
25
                ATTY. MATTEI: Thank you.
26
                 THE COURT: . . . just trying to think of their -
27
           you know, whatever, that's fine.
```

1 And I knew that for so many exhibits too - we've got to get them right, that was my (indiscernible) 2 3 concern, that his (indiscernible) are all wrong. That 4 the exhibits are not ready, so at least if we do it 5 this way, we're not killing ourselves with the 6 exhibits. 7 THE CLERK: (indiscernible) back there 8 (indiscernible) rushed. 9 THE COURT: All right, so, and I think the 10 exhibits all haven't even been e-filed yet. I think your office is not quite current with the exhibits -11 12 because they ne dot not just be handed in here, they need to be in the official file. 13 14 ATTY. MATTEI: No, yeah, of course. Yeah, we'll double-triple check all that. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. So, why don't we just go for a 17 half an hour on the (indiscernible). 18 ATTY. PATTIS: Do we say anything right now, 19 about what's happening on the . . . 20 THE COURT: No, because I'm not - the Jury's not 21 here and I'm not here for the press, Attorney Pattis. 22 ATTY. PATTIS: I . . . 23 THE COURT: I don't - that's not my . . . 2.4 ATTY. PATTIS: . . . I didn't suggest you are, I 25 just asked because I don't know. 26 I think I've been a reasonably compliant Court 27 Officer, for most days of this trial, I didn't think

```
1
           I (indiscernible).
 2
                THE COURT: (indiscernible).
 3
                ATTY. PATTIS: Me (phonetic)?
 4
                ATTY. MATTEI: It's not over yet.
 5
                THE COURT: When he's (indiscernible) just
            (indiscernible).
 6
 7
                ATTY. PATTIS: That's what we were talking about;
 8
           I don't believe so, but you know, I expected that
           it . . .
 9
10
                 THE COURT: Well, listen (phonetic), I don't know
           - I mean, right now he's . . .
11
12
                ATTY. MATTEI: I thought I just had a
13
           representation that he wasn't coming?
14
                ATTY. PATTIS: Well, the question the Court asked
15
           me is, he's not gonna change his mind, okay?
16
                 THE COURT: How do you know he's not gonna fly
17
           back tomorrow morning and want to testify - I'm not
18
           gonna . . .
19
                ATTY. MATTEI: Because his Attorney has
20
           represented that he's not calling him.
21
                ATTY. PATTIS: Well, now wait a minute - I've
22
           represented he's left; I have no intention of - what
23
           if he calls me tonight and says, 'I've changed my
           mind'? What then?
2.4
25
                ATTY. MATTEI: Well, then I guess we're ready to
26
           go.
27
                ATTY. PATTIS: No, I don't believe that's gonna
```

1 happen, but I mean (indiscernible) the Court's 2 question. 3 THE COURT: Just saying that . . . 4 ATTY. MATTEI: I think we can put off the Offer 5 of Proof, I guess, in that event - till tomorrow 6 morning. 7 ATTY. PATTIS: Yeah, I think that makes sense, 8 because I don't think - I genuinely don't - I didn't 9 want to get up and make an Offer of Proof against 10 him, is what (indiscernible) at the airport (phonetic). 11 12 THE COURT: I understand, well look it up on the 13 bright (phonetic) side, the Jury will be here 14 tomorrow; so, if he changes his mind and fly's back, 15 we'll have a Jury. 16 ATTY. PATTIS: So, let me talk to you for a 17 minute. 18 THE COURT: All right 19 ATTY. MATTEI: We don't have a choice, so. ATTY. PATTIS: (indiscernible) he's not planning, 20 21 there's not (indiscernible). 22 THE COURT: Ron, any chance you could go on my 23 desk and get - right on my blotter, there's two 2.4 versions of the Jury charges; is there any chance you 25 could go get that for me now? 26 THE CLERK: Sure. 27 THE COURT: Thank you so much.

1 (SIDE BAR ENDS) 2 THE COURT: All right, so while Ron's getting our 3 versions of the proposed charge, why don't we just 4 plan on going - since we'll have more time tomorrow, 5 why don't we just go 4:30 PM with the charge today. 6 ATTY. STERLING: Yes, thank you. THE COURT: Put a half an hour into it. 7 8 ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, we have a couple 9 things that ought to just put on record - I don't 10 know that we've ever put them on record when we weren't at side bar. 11 12 So, with regard - and can we just wait until Attorney Pattis is ready. 13 14 ATTY. PATTIS: I'm ready. 15 ATTY. STERLING: Are you? 16 One moment, Your Honor. 17 So, with regard to - and this we have covered, 18 but I want to make sure we do both, the Common Law of 19 Punitive Damages, which would result in attorney's 20 fees and costs. We have agreed that the Court will reserve the determination of the amount of reasonable 21 22 attorney's fees and costs if those are allocated to the Plaintiff's. 23 2.4 THE COURT: I thought we already put that on the 25 record? 26 ATTY. STERLING: We did, and the reason I wanted 27 to cover it, is because it's the CUTPA fees

2.4

(phonetic) and attorney's fees and costs also go to the Court, but we have an agreement that that - the determination of the amount will be in a later proceeding.

That's really the point of this, Your Honor, is to say we're not putting on evidence right now of the amount of attorney's fees and costs, because we have an agreement that that's reserved to a later proceeding.

ATTY. PATTIS: And in part, that agreement spawned (phonetic) from the disclosure of - an arguably late disclosure of an expert on the (indiscernible). In the run up to trial, we agreed to defer that and our right to depose that person should that question arise; and I think we did put that on the record, maybe even before evidence began.

ATTY. STERLING: I think we put it on - well in any event, it's on the . . .

THE COURT: Okay, well the record's nice and clear.

ATTY. STERLING: . . . it's very nice and clear right now; and the other issue we had, Your Honor, is that technically, the Plaintiff standing in for Erica Lafferty is Rich Cohen - the bankruptcy trustee.

Throughout the proceedings, we have referred to Erica Lafferty as the Plaintiff; we have an agreement that we will refer to Erica Lafferty on the verdict

1 form and any Jury interrogatories; and it will be 2 then, understood, that judgement will enter in favor 3 of the formal plaintiff in the case - but we'll 4 (phonetic) just handle the Jury interrogatories and 5 verdict form that way. 6 ATTY. PATTIS: Agreed. 7 THE COURT: Okay, all right. 8 ATTY. STERLING: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: So, are we ready to go on the 10 proposed charge? ATTY. STERLING: Yes, Your Honor; where did the 11 12 Court want to begin? 13 THE COURT: Well, I think - just bear with me for 14 one second. 15 ATTY. PATTIS: Did the Court ever get a copy that 16 wasn't all page-tens? 17 THE COURT: I did, I did, and it's actually got 18 colors on it - it's very exciting. 19 One of the things that, I think Attorney 20 Sterling was gonna look at - and you were gonna look 21 at, Attorney Pattis, was the implicit bias charge -22 whether you thought there was a need for it or not; 23 have you had an opportunity to talk to each other on 2.4 that - about that? 25 ATTY. STERLING: We have not; so, let's defer 26 that one until tomorrow. 27 THE COURT: Okay. All right, so, on the

1 corporation or other entity as party - I was going to 2 look at that, and I have to say, I agree with 3 Attorney Pattis on that. I'm not going to instruct 4 the Jury that Mr. Jones and Free Speech Systems are 5 one entity; I didn't see that in the complaint. 6 ATTY. STERLING: Let me pull the allegations of 7 the complaint, Your Honor, then and go over them with 8 you; and maybe we could do that tomorrow. 9 THE COURT: Why don't we - I don't want to keep 10 kicking the can on everything. Why don't we - I looked at the . . . 11 12 ATTY. STERLING: Okay, well then let me grab a 13 copy of the complaint, just one moment. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 ATTY. MATTEI: While Attorney Sterling is doing 16 that, Your Honor, may I be excused so that I can 17 inform our clients about the game plan, and they can 18 then get on with their day? 19 THE COURT: Sure, absolutely. 20 ATTY. MATTEI: Thank you. THE COURT: Ron, would you mind getting your 21 steps in and getting my - I left a part of a copy of 22 23 the complaint on my desk. I think it's either on my desk or on the table, I'm pretty sure it's on my 2.4 25 desk. 26 THE CLERK: (indiscernible). 27 THE COURT: And I worked off - Attorney Sterling,

1 of the complaint - the operative complaint at the 2 time the default entered. 3 ATTY. STERLING: So, and would that be - is that 4 Lafferty or is that Sherlach-one or Sherlach-two, 5 because all . . . THE COURT: I looked at the Lafferty. 6 7 ATTY. STERLING: Lafferty, okay. 8 THE COURT: Operative complaint at the time the 9 default entered. 10 ATTY. STERLING: So, I'm not sure which complaint this is - I'm looking in the first set of allegations 11 12 that go to this issue, at paragraph 34. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Oh of course 14 (indiscernible) see. 15 You want to just read into the record, and I'll 16 just listen to you, rather than try to pull it up? 17 ATTY. STERLING: The above-mentioned taxes 18 (phonetic) business entity Defendant, is owned, 19 controlled and operated by Defendant, Alex Jones; and 20 employed (phonetic) to hold and generate revenue for 2.1 him. 22 So, that . . . 23 THE COURT: Well, there's - but the language that 2.4 you proposed here is that they're one entity. 25 ATTY. STERLING: I think that's - we did, Your 26 Honor, and it's short-hand; and what it's short-hand 27 for is the fact that there are allegations of agency

1 conspiracy, joint venture (phonetic), control - all of those allegations, which lead to the same place, 2 3 which is that they're one actor. 4 THE COURT: So, I am not going to charge that 5 they're one entity; are you making a counter proposal 6 on other language that you want to run past Attorney 7 Pattis? 8 ATTY. PATTIS: Judge . . . 9 ATTY. STERLING: I can, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Go ahead, Attorney Pattis. ATTY. PATTIS: I - given the law of the case, I 11 12 feel that I can't agree to anything when F.S.S. moved 13 into bankruptcy, the Court concluded that Mr. Jones 14 was distinct, and absolvent (phonetic) Defendant such that the action couldn't proceed. 15 16 THE COURT: Well, that's not - that's a different 17 Court; I'm looking at . . . 18 ATTY. PATTIS: No, you - here, when F.S.S. moved 19 into bankruptcy and Mr. Jones didn't get the benefit 20 of (indiscernible), we proceeded in the bankruptcy 21 proceedings. We agreed to a lift of stay (phonetic), but we didn't - we never agreed that they would be 22 23 treated as one. They were treated as two . . . 2.4 THE COURT: All right, but I didn't make any 25 finding along those lines; I simply pointed out in my 26 order that Mr. Jones hadn't declared bankruptcy . . . 27 ATTY. PATTIS: Recognizing that he was different,

1 because if he were the same then they wouldn't . . . 2 THE COURT: Well, that's what you're reading into 3 it, I just recognized that he had not filed 4 bankruptcy and still has not. 5 ATTY. PATTIS: I hear you, and for that reason, I 6 feel that I can't - I'm going to assert an 7 opposition. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, so, I think the 10 other thing to point out, is that all the allegations in the complaint are as against both of these 11 12 Defendants. So, the idea that - for purposes of the 13 default, the complaint establishes that they're doing 14 the same thing - that's another reason to treat them 15 as the same. 16 ATTY. PATTIS: I don't know that that's 17 necessarily true, I can imagine a Universe in which 18 liability as to F.S.S. is larger than as to Mr. 19 Jones; and that is if the Jury credits some of the 20 (indiscernible) or information and says it wasn't 21 necessarily chargeable to Mr. Jones. 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm going - I'm not going to 23 consider the language as proposed - if you want to 2.4 propose something else, at some other time, you can 25 do that. 26 ATTY. STERLING: Okay, Your Honor. 27 THE COURT: I mean, the only evidence in the case

1 has been along the lines that he makes all decisions and control - so, if you want to make some counter 2 3 proposal, I'll consider it. 4 ATTY. STERLING: Right, okay. Thank you, Judge. 5 THE COURT: So, let me see where we're up to with 6 that. So, I think - did we address - all right, 7 corporate designate (phonetic) testimony, we 8 addressed - we deleted that sentence by agreement. 9 Responsibility for actions of others who broadcast on Infowars - we did that? 10 ATTY. PATTIS: I thought we had, Judge, yes. 11 12 ATTY. STERLING: Yeah, we did; I think we had 13 resolved that one completely. 14 THE COURT: Okay, adverse inference - did we resolve that? 15 16 ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, in the interest of 17 moving things along, we're not gonna claim that one. 18 That's the Dew/Bidondi texts. 19 THE COURT: Right, that you were going to think 20 about that, okay. ATTY. STERLING: Yeah, we did think about it and 21 22 we're not gonna press that. 23 THE COURT: Would you, since you - do you mind, 2.4 making the changes once again for Attorney Pattis and 25 the Court? ATTY. STERLING: I don't mind, Your Honor. 26 27 THE COURT: Okay, that direct and circumstantial

1	evidence?
2	ATTY. PATTIS: I think we'd agreed on that,
3	Judge.
4	THE COURT: Okay, credibility of witnesses?
5	ATTY. PATTIS: Agreed.
6	THE COURT: Use of depositions?
7	ATTY. PATTIS: Agreed.
8	THE COURT: All right expert witnesses - did we
9	finish that?
10	ATTY. STERLING: We did, Your Honor, I think I
11	prevailed on one word, and otherwise
12	ATTY. PATTIS: And I see that, that's at the top
13	- may I be seated Judge, during this?
14	THE COURT: Yes, and you too, Attorney Sterling.
15	ATTY. PATTIS: Okay, I see in line 6, of page 16,
16	what I believe was what we discussed yesterday - is
17	that, am I correct? Changing - making your decision
18	whether to believe to considering?
19	ATTY. STERLING: That's correct.
20	ATTY. PATTIS: Okay, agreed.
21	THE COURT: So, causes of action; that's the - I
22	was going to see how cumulative the nominal damages
23	charge was, I never go the chance to count it up, but
24	I did say we'll come back to this.
25	ATTY. STERLING: I can't do sitting down, Judge,
26	I apologize - I prefer standing up.
27	You know, I gave some thought to this overnight,

2.2

2.4

and I understand the Court's concern here; and mindful of Court's question yesterday about what is the appropriate charge here, how can you give a nominal damages charge — and here's the issue I'm running into, the Court is instructing the Jury on certain facts — which are established by the default.

One of those facts, is the fact that each

Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress as a

result of outrageous conduct by Mr. Jones. Those are

not - the question yesterday was, 'Well how could you

have damages in the absence of evidence?'; the answer

is, the facts that the Court is instructing - those

are equivalent to evidence, right?

That's - that is an instruction about what the facts are; and so, where that takes us then, is - because is the question of what can the Jury do, what discretion does it have in the face of severe emotional distress?

The answer to that question is in the Maldonado (phonetic) case, that recent case written by Justice Ecker (phonetic), where he says - and with was with regard to pain and suffering,

"But once the evidence of pain and suffering is established, the Jury is not free to award zero damages as compensation . . ."

So, that's where I think we land with regard to emotional distress, Your Honor, and that's the

problem with the nominal damages charge.

ATTY. PATTIS: I disagree with Attorney

Sterling's reading of it - and I'll repeat what I

said yesterday, I looked for guidance to the question

of proof of damages in a 1980 - in a case arising out

of 42 United States, Cade 1983, where this is a

common problem.

"If a Plaintiff proves the elements of the offense, but fails to prove damages - fails to prove an amount, they're entitled, as a matter of law, to nominal damages . . ."

So, I think what Justice Ecker (phonetic) said, is zero is an inappropriate amount - as it would be in a 1983 case. The proper answer is, it's \$1.00.

Now, having opened the - having made that finding, by way of a defaults or having to determine that by way of a default, the Plaintiffs are welcome to come in here and prove as much damages as they can persuade the Jury to award them - but they are not relieved of the responsibility of proof.

So, our view is, the nominal damage charge is correct.

THE COURT: Right, well I'm not - so, why don't we - let's come back to whether we need to leave it under causes of action - if it's five or six other places, let's look at in context; but I understand your arguments.

1 Okay, intentional (phonetic) infliction of 2 emotional distress - we have no problems with, right? 3 ATTY. PATTIS: Correct. 4 THE COURT: And false lead (phonetic) invasion of 5 privacy, we have no problems with, am I right? 6 ATTY. STERLING: Yes. 7 ATTY. PATTIS: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay, so, now we're at defamation? 9 ATTY. STERLING: Yes, and the defamation language 10 was - is the Court's proposal - I had proposed one which was a rejection of our proposal, I had proposed 11 12 one change in the language, which I feel is 13 important; and we were waiting on Attorney Pattis's 14 response to that change in the language. 15 ATTY. PATTIS: I don't recall what that was, 16 Attorney Sterling. 17 ATTY. STERLING: It's right there, in the red 18 line (phonetic) - hopefully you all have the same 19 page numbers, on page 21. 20 THE COURT: 21? 21 ATTY. PATTIS: Yeah, I'll agree to the charge as 22 drafted, Judge. I had a chance to check my proposed 23 charge and my notes - I couldn't recall what I had 2.4 proposed to this Court (phonetic) on the phone, 25 yesterday; so, I will agree to the Plaintiff's charge 26 on page 21. 27 THE COURT: All right, approximate cause - I have

1 in my notes here - I have to work on this; so, I'll 2 work on that. 3 ATTY. STERLING: So, we're tabling that one for 4 now, Your Honor? 5 THE COURT: Well, I'm gonna try to do it tonight. 6 Do you have anything to add to what we've talked 7 about? I'd be happy to hear you again, if anyone has 8 anything to add on that. 9 ATTY. PATTIS: Yesterday's session was preserved, 10 Judge - recorded, or by court reporter? THE COURT: Yes, indeed. 11 12 ATTY. PATTIS: I have nothing to add. 13 ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, I don't think we 14 have anything to add at this time; because we, 15 primarily, accepted the Court's charge and then just 16 made a few red line (phonetic) suggestions. 17 ATTY. PATTIS: Well, now I'm gonna have to 18 comment. As I said, yesterday, I think that the 19 Plaintiffs tried to compress proximate (phonetic) 20 case into but-for (phonetic) causation; and that I 21 take to be the thrust of their - what they might 22 refer to as minor edits. I view that as a 23 (indiscernible) in what's required. 2.4 THE COURT: Okay, so, now were on standard of 25 proof damages - just give me one second. 26 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Excuse me, Your Honor, I'm going 27 to join Mr. Mattei; we're very well taken care of

1 here. 2 THE COURT: That's fine; have a good afternoon, 3 safe travels. 4 ATTY. KOSKOFF: Thank you. 5 ATTY. STERLING: So, Your Honor, at the beginning 6 of this charge, we have the same nominal damages 7 problem; and we do continue to object to that. 8 Your Honor, though, I feel like we went through 9 this yesterday because I had an objection to the 10 instructions regarding wait (phonetic) and my memory is that I was overruled. 11 12 THE COURT: That is correct. 13 ATTY. STERLING: So, what's hanging there, is how 14 the Court treats nominal. 15 THE COURT: Okay, damages compensatory - did we finish this? 16 17 ATTY. PATTIS: I think this is where we got 18 bogged down and decided to quit for the day. 19 THE COURT: We might do that again today; we've 20 only got 10 more minutes. ATTY. STERLING: So, I agree, I think this is 21 2.2 where we got bogged down - and I think we hang up on 23 the nominal issue, again here, in the middle of this 2.4 charge. 25 THE COURT: Okay, besides the nominal issue, what 26 else can you tell me? 27 ATTY. STERLING: Let's see - we had agreed to

1 change injuries to harm. 2 ATTY. PATTIS: Correct. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 ATTY. STERLING: Attorney Pattis had agreed to 5 that - and I see that I've missed some. At the end of 6 that charge, there is a portion regarding non-7 economic damages; it's the last two sentences. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 ATTY. STERLING: I don't see any reason to 10 explain that, when we're not formally seeking economic damages. So, I think those two sentences 11 12 should come out. 13 ATTY. PATTIS: I think they're entirely 14 appropriate, given the testimony we've just listened 15 to. Monies for harms they've suffered - likely to 16 suffer in the future as a result of the Defendant's 17 wrong - (indiscernible) they are awarded (phonetic) 18 for such things. 19 I don't know that we need physical pain and 20 suffering, but they are awarded (phonetic) for such 21 things as mental and emotional pain and suffering, and the loss or diminution (phonetic) of the ability 22 23 to enjoy life's pleasures - I think I just heard 2.4 three-days of that. 25 ATTY. STERLING: I'm just looking at it, Judge. 26 THE COURT: Take your time. 27 ATTY. STERLING: So, I think if we take out the

1 physical pain and suffering, that makes it better. 2 ATTY. PATTIS: I would agree to that, Judge. 3 THE COURT: I agree; all right, what else besides 4 the nominal damages issue in this section? 5 ATTY. PATTIS: I don't recall that there was 6 anything else. 7 ATTY. STERLING: I think I already lost on our 8 objection to guess and speculate; that's my memory of 9 yesterday. I can remake that argument, but my memory 10 is I was overruled. THE COURT: That's correct. All right so, now is 11 12 that it for that section? 13 ATTY. PATTIS: From my perspective, yes. 14 ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, if I may, I just 15 want to look at it one more time overnight - and I 16 don't think I have anything else, but I would 17 like . . . 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right, damages for invasion 19 of privacy and emotional distress - so, we have not 20 talked about this yet? 21 ATTY. PATTIS: Correct. 22 THE COURT: Okay, so just give me one moment. 23 So, Plaintiff's comment? ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, we think this is 2.4 25 appropriate and tailored. 26 THE COURT: Right, and I did not keep track of -27 has every Plaintiff testified?

1	ATTY. PATTIS: Yes.
2	ATTY. STERLING: Yes.
3	THE COURT: So, we can drop that line?
4	ATTY. STERLING: Yes, we can.
5	THE COURT: So, you'll do that?
6	ATTY. STERLING: Yes, once I find it, I'll drop
7	it.
8	THE COURT: All right, Attorney Pattis?
9	ATTY. PATTIS: I had an extensive comment, I'll
10	relay on the comments that I made there, Judge.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	ATTY. PATTIS: Other than to note that I - I have
13	(indiscernible) in there, the next to last sentence
14	should end with the clause,
15	"Unlike defamation per se and CUTPA, (phonetic)
16	is simple and requires no (indiscernible) instruction
17	(phonetic)."
18	THE COURT: Right, I am gonna leave that in; I
19	think it's helpful for the Jury.
20	Okay, so, that takes us to damages for liable
21	and slander per se. Just give me a moment to get up
22	to speed.
23	All right, so, what's - where do we need to go
24	first? What's the first line we're tackling here?
25	here
26	ATTY. PATTIS: The Defendant's position is that
27	that Court's charge isn't accurate in the adequate

1 statement of the law, and no revisions are necessary. 2 THE COURT: All right, so, your first proposed 3 addition (phonetic)? 4 ATTY. STERLING: Yes, Your Honor. It's to repeat 5 to the Jury what was established to be defamatory. 6 So, the first - what I'm requesting to be included, 7 is actually the same language that comes out of the 8 defamation per se liability charge. 9 So, that language is already accepted in the 10 defamation per se liability charge. ATTY. PATTIS: And our view is that - much like 11 Plaintiff's concern about repeating what nominal 12 13 damages are, there's no need to repeat this more 14 often (phonetic). 15 THE COURT: I actually think it's helpful; so, I 16 accept that first addition. 17 ATTY. STERLING: Okay, thank you. 18 Then, proceeding through the charge, the 19 sentence that begins, 20 "In determining the amount of general damages to 21 award for the injury to a Plaintiff's reputation, you 2.2 should consider what reputation the Plaintiff had in 23 the community . . . " 2.4 Is the charge that is - that's the standard 25 language, that's the charge that the Court adopted -26 I don't think that 'in the community' is - I think 27 it's actually confusing in the modern world.

1 Right, because which community? People have 2 multiple communities - people exist in an online 3 community, but community suggests a physical 4 community. So, I think it's really a problem and the 5 better wording is what I proposed, which is to knock 6 it out. 7 THE COURT: I can't disagree with that, Attorney 8 Pattis. 9 ATTY. PATTIS: Well, I mean . . . 10 THE COURT: I think that's - that holds weight. ATTY. PATTIS: . . . possibly - although they put 11 12 on no evidence about their reputation prior to these 13 events, so I'm not sure they're entitled to that at 14 all. 15 ATTY. STERLING: I certainly disagree with that. 16 ATTY. PATTIS: No one testified that - you know, 17 they're wonderful people - I'll concede that based on 18 what I saw of their testimony, but I didn't hear a 19 single person testify that we (phonetic) thought 20 worse beforehand - or after all this occurred -21 beforehand, if anything, they've become more 22 sympathetic - iconic. 23 ATTY. STERLING: I'm surprised to hear that, I 2.4 think that - I mean, one of the reputations that 25 these people had - these families had, was as the 26 parents of children who were killed at Sandy Hook.

THE COURT: Right.

27

1 ATTY. PATTIS: No, the question - no, what it 2 says though, 3 ". . . In determining the amount of damages for 4 award for the injury to the Plaintiff's reputation, 5 you should consider what reputation the Plaintiff had 6 when the statements were made . . . " What evidence is there - none. 7 8 ATTY. STERLING: I disagree with that; I think 9 there's significant evidence about who these people were before Alex Jones defamed them. 10 ATTY. PATTIS: They were parents, certainly - and 11 lovely parents . . . 12 13 ATTY. STERLING: And I mean . . . 14 ATTY. PATTIS: . . . but reputation in the 15 community is not what you think of yourself, it's 16 what others think of you - whether that community be 17 online, virtual, or not. 18 In the defamation cases that I've seen, 19 typically there's some testimony about how - not how 20 these people thought of themselves or what was said 21 of them, but that there was an alteration in the point of view that others had of them. 2.2 23 These people who defamed them, whomever they are 2.4 - these unnamed people, probably never even heard of 25 them beforehand. 26 ATTY. STERLING: Well, actually I think they were 27 understood to be the parents of and family members of

2.4

people who were killed at Sandy Hook; and so, that was their reputation - one of their reputation before Alex Jones deemed them.

ATTY. PATTIS: Being a parent isn't a reputation, it's a state - it's a classification. A reputation is what others - look at the definition of reputation, it's what other's think of you, your standing in the world, the regard with which others hold you.

There has been no evidence of that - none.

ATTY. STERLING: That's just not true, the evidence is that they were grieving family members of . . .

THE COURT: All right so, I think I'll take out 'in the community', and you just (phonetic) consider the Plaintiff's reputation or should consider what reputation the Plaintiff - I actually like,

'You should consider the Plaintiff's reputation when the statements were made'.

All right, so, next?

ATTY. STERLING: Yes, Judge; so, here what we have asked to do - if the Court remembers our originally proposed charge, we had a long description of the things that the case law provides for Jurors to consider, as they're determining reputational harm.

One of the reasons I thought this was really important, is because I think reputational harm is

2.4

something that the more you think about it, the more you understand it; and what reputational means in an internet age is changing.

So, I think it's absolutely necessary that the Jury have some guidance here. I understand that the Court was not comfortable with instructing the entire list.

THE COURT: No, that was a long list.

ATTY. STERLING: That was a long list, so I have pared it down.

THE COURT: Well, I mean I think we have to give them some guidance here, as to what they should consider. What do you suggest, Attorney Pattis? This is a pretty short list, is there any . . .

ATTY. PATTIS: Well, I think it's revisiting marshaling (phonetic), and I think they're free to argue that. They're free to argue anything they like that's supported by the evidence and that you let them argue, but I don't think the Court should endorse their theories of the case, and I don't think the internet age presents need for a special charge.

I think the charge on liable and slander per se covers what they're looking for, without drawing undue attention to the theories of the case that they want to argue. So, I think this will bolster and marshal . . .

THE COURT: I agree with that. So, I think I'll

1 just leave it, 2 "You should consider all the circumstances surrounding (phonetic) and make (indiscernible) 3 4 another statement . . . " 5 And you can cover that in your closing argument. 6 All right, next? ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, I know I don't have 7 8 to, but I'll say for the record that we accept to 9 that - that's important guidance. THE COURT: I understand. 10 All right, so, where are we next? 11 12 ATTY. STERLING: Then if we proceed down the, 13 "In addition to general damages awarded, you may 14 award . . ." 15 THE COURT: Let me just get there. 16 ATTY. PATTIS: Where are you? Oh, okay. 17 THE COURT: Page 34? 18 ATTY. STERLING: Page 34, the line out 19 (phonetic). So, we're not seeking compensation for 20 special damages in this case, and so, I think that 21 the charge on specials is - you know, it doesn't make 22 sense - and Attorney Pattis and I had actually discussed that. 23 2.4 THE COURT: So, that's by agreement? 25 ATTY. STERLING: Well, I want to give him an 26 opportunity to look at it, but I think we had 27 discussed that before.

1 THE COURT: Well, if you're not seeking 2 compensation for special damages, why would I charge 3 them? 4 ATTY. STERLING: Exactly. 5 ATTY. PATTIS: On defamation per se, even because isn't that the point, and part of defamation 6 7 per se? 8 ATTY. STERLING: No, defamation per se gives 9 rights to general damages. 10 ATTY. PATTIS: Right. ATTY. STERLING: So, we're not seeking - there's 11 12 not going to be an itemized - we'd like to be paid 13 back for this, that, and the other thing. 14 ATTY. PATTIS: Okay, so, if that's not gonna be 15 argued, that's fine. 16 ATTY. STERLING: Right. 17 THE COURT: Okay, now we're on page 35? 18 ATTY. PATTIS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And why don't we end with this 20 section of the charge. 21 ATTY. STERLING: Okay. 2.2 THE COURT: Okay, so, we'll finish this up and 23 pick up the CUTPA tomorrow. 2.4 ATTY. STERLING: Okay; so, then - just so I'm 25 clear, Your Honor, we're - the line out (phonetic) 26 continues through, 27 ". . . compensate the Plaintiff for his or her

1 loss . . . " 2 We're taking that out. 3 Then I had proposed - the language is, 4 ". . . If you find that the Plaintiff has 5 suffered a violation of his or her legal rights, as a 6 result of the default, the Plaintiff's legal rights have been violated. . ." 7 8 So, it should be, ". . . each Plaintiff has suffered a violation 9 10 of his or her legal rights . . . " ATTY. PATTIS: That's the consequence of the 11 default, Judge, I'll agree. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 ATTY. PATTIS: Without waiving (phonetic) our 15 objection to the default. 16 ATTY. STERLING: Then here, 17 ". . . these damages may not be nominal or 18 substantial . . ." 19 So, this is - I think that the posture of the 20 emotional distress and the defamation per se is slightly different, right? The emotional distress, 21 2.2 under IIED has established severe emotional distress. 23 So, here I understand that there could be nominal 2.4 damages for reputational harm, but I think that needs 25 to be explained. 26 So, when we had talked about nominal damages 27 before - you see here, the thorough explanation of

1 when nominal damages could be awarded in a defamation 2 per se situation, what we're then asking is that the 3 Court balance that nominal damages instruction with 4 the other side, right? 5 With, you know - that's the situation for 6 nominal damages, when the Plaintiff is - the 7 defamatory material is of an insignificant (phonetic) 8 character, because the Plaintiff has bad 9 character . . . 10 THE COURT: I understand. ATTY. STERLING: We ask for the flip. 11 THE COURT: It looks like a good balance to me, 12 13 what do you say, Attorney Pattis? 14 ATTY. PATTIS: I don't think it is a balance; I 15 think that it's their burden to prove more than 16 nominal - even in the posture of a default. 17 So, it's a false equivalence - this balance. 18 There is no balance here; they started nominal and 19 earn every dollar beyond that, and to suggest that 20 there's a balance is to suggest that the Jury should, 21 you know - should proceed with an open question -22 should proceed with a presumption that they're entitled to more than \$1.00. 23 2.4 My view is, if we start with \$1.00, and they get 25 - and everything more than that that they prove, they 26 get. 27 THE COURT: What suggests that they're not

1 proving it though? I'm missing something. 2 ATTY. PATTIS: If, on the other hand, it's of 3 significant care of . . . 4 THE COURT: If, on the other hand, 5 (indiscernible) . . . 6 ATTY. PATTIS: The standard charge, I think, is 7 clear. Nominal damages are awarded when they are the 8 only damages claimed and the Plaintiff's - for 9 purposes of (indiscernible) character by the Jury, 10 that I think - okay, that's not clear. Excuse me, I erred on that. 11 12 I think what the Plaintiffs continue to do is 13 object to the presence of nominal damages and they 14 want to say that - we start with the presumption of 15 war, and even in a default situation, they're 16 entitled only to what they prove. 17 So, our view is, that if they can't prove more, 18 they get no more. 19 THE COURT: I thought this really, actually, 20 highlights the nominal damages. ATTY. PATTIS: It highlights them in the light, 21 22 most favorable to the Plaintiff's. 23 THE COURT: "These damages may be nominal or 2.4 substantial, nominal damages of \$1.00 may be 25 awarded . . . " 26 And then there's a really long sentence there, 27 and then, if on the other hand - so, I mean, the

nominal damages are getting more airtime.

2.4

ATTY. PATTIS: They're getting re-cast. My view is, the correct statement of the law is, the default entitles them to damages. If they prove - in the absence of proof of anything, they get nominal damages - it's a matter of law.

Anything more, they need to get. So, this notion that somehow, they - that we need to talk about the circumstances under which they get more.

". . . If, on the other hand, you find the defamatory (indiscernible) character or because you've defined that the Plaintiff had a good character . . ." -

And again, it's not character, it's reputation.

". . . substantial harm has been done or you find there's proof of serious harm, then you may award very substantial damages . . ."

That's argumentative - the law is that they - the damages are presumed, they get nominal without proof and beyond that, that's basically endorsing their argument.

ATTY. STERLING: Your Honor, I think that misunderstands the law of defamation per se. The injury is established, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover as general damages for injury to his or her reputation, and for humiliation, and for mental suffering - that's the law.

1 ATTY. PATTIS: But what - don't have to . . . 2 ATTY. STERLING: May I finish? 3 Then the standard charge gives the Jury guidance 4 about when it can find nominal damages, and it's 5 basically saying, as I read it, you gotta go 6 backwards, right? 7 What that nominal damages instruction, which 8 comes out of the standard charge, says when you can 9 award nominals, is if the defamatory materials is of 10 an insignificant character or because you find the Plaintiff had a bad character; so that no substantial 11 12 harm has been done. 13 So, that's what the standard charge is telling 14 us; so, what I'm trying to do is simply balance it 15 out. 16 ATTY. PATTIS: But balancing, in this case, means 17 inflating the value of no proof; and if there were a 18 Plaintiff that had no proof of damages, the Juror 19 would be left saying, 20 'Well, they've got substantial damages, so I 21 guess we've got to give them more than nominal, but 22 how much?' -23 And it's the Plaintiff's burden to prove that. 2.4 ATTY. STERLING: That's not it at all, Your 25 Honor. What we're dealing with is a default on 26 intentional torts (phonetic). So, the facts 27 established by the default carry weight in the

1 damages determination - I mean, we - they do. 2 So, Your Honor, I feel like . . . 3 ATTY. PATTIS: They carry weight, but they don't 4 deliver the goods. The Plaintiff is entitled to make 5 a run at the biggest number they think that this 6 evidence supports, but it's a number that the Jury 7 has to give because they're persuaded by a 8 preponderance of the evidence that that's a fair, 9 just, and reasonable award. 10 In the absence of any proof, it's nominal. Otherwise, what's the number? 11 12 THE COURT: Let's end on this, I'll look at it 13 tonight. 14 When - so, what we'll do tomorrow is, after we 15 send the Jury home, we'll plan on finishing up, 16 hopefully, and I'll tell you what I've decided and 17 then we can finish it. 18 ATTY. STERLING: Yes. 19 THE COURT: It doesn't look like there's that 20 much left. 21 ATTY. PATTIS: Judge . . . 22 ATTY. STERLING: There's more. 23 ATTY. PATTIS: . . . will we get interrogatories, 24 tomorrow, too? 25 THE COURT: I thought you were - I know that we 26 left it off, that you sort of, pretty much assured me 27 that you would work on that, and you didn't think

1 that you would have a problem. 2 ATTY. STERLING: I think we need to work on that, 3 and I think what the Court does with the charge may 4 inform (phonetic) that, that we will certainly handle 5 it tomorrow. 6 THE COURT: All right, but that's how I 7 specifically recall; you felt very confident that 8 you'd be able to work together on that. If not, then 9 we'll deal with . . . 10 ATTY. STERLING: We'll certainly work together, whether we'll agree is a different question. 11 12 THE COURT: Right, have you done anything, so 13 far, on it yet? Or not yet? 14 ATTY. STERLING: We have not, so, we'll take that 15 up. 16 THE COURT: All right, so, that should be 17 something that you try to do first; but that was my recollection, so, hopefully -18 19 Okay, what else? 20 ATTY. STERLING: A few more things, Your Honor; 21 so, we filed a supplemental charge - two sets 22 supplemental charges, and I apologize, I don't - I think the docket number of one of them is -1006 -23 2.4 that's the life expectancy charge. 25 Then we filed another set of supplemental 26 charges, which had an (indiscernible), the charge 27 that we've been briefing to the Court and having

1 rejected about the identity of the - not needing to 2 name the Plaintiff; and a charge about charging out 3 First Amendment, Second Amendment, arguments, and a 4 charge about missing information. So, that - all that briefing is in and then -5 6 Oh, and we filed our brief on ascertainable loss 7 already being decided. 8 ATTY. PATTIS: And I'll have something on that 9 tomorrow morning; I saw their brief this morning, I 10 didn't compose it this morning, I was preparing for 11 something else today. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 All right, safe travels home and we'll see 14 everyone tomorrow at 10:00 AM, we're adjourned. 15 (COURT IS ADJOURNED)

## Case 23-03037 Document 58-23 Filed in TXSB on 05/12/23 Page 69 of 69

DKT NO: X06-UWY-CV186046436-S : COMPLEX LITIGATION DKT

ERICA V. LAFFERTY : JUDICIAL DISTRICT WATERBURY

v. : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

ALEX EMRIC JONES : OCTOBER 4, 2022

DOCKET NO: X06-UWY-CV186046437-S

WILLIAM SHERLACH

V.

ALEX EMRIC JONES

DOCKET NO: X06-UWY-CV186046438-S

WILLIAM SHERLACH

V.

ALEX EMERIC JONES

## <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Waterbury, Waterbury, Connecticut, before the Honorable Barbara N. Bellis, Judge, on the 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022.

Dated this  $5^{\text{th}}$  day of October, 2022, in Waterbury, Connecticut.

<u>Kendyl Henaghan</u>

Kendyl Henaghan Court Recording Monitor